| 1           |  |  |  |
|-------------|--|--|--|
| 2           |  |  |  |
| 3           |  |  |  |
| 4           |  |  |  |
| 5           |  |  |  |
| 6           |  |  |  |
| 7<br>8<br>9 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA  |  |  |
| 10<br>11    | SHELLI SIMMONS (BURTON),  Plaintiff,   | CASE NO. 14-cv-05677 BHS JRC                       |  |
| 12          | v.   | REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON STIPULATED MOTION FOR |  |
| 13<br>14    | CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting<br>Commissioner of the Social Security<br>Administration,  | REMAND   |  |
| 15          | Defendant.   |  |  |
| 16<br>17    | This matter has been referred to Magistr   | ate Judge J. Richard Creatura pursuant to 28       |  |
| 18          | U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Magistrates Rule MJR 4(a)(4), and as authorized by <i>Mathews</i> ,  |  |  |
| 19          | Secretary of H.E.W. v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). This matter is before the Court on defendant's stipulated motion to remand the matter to the administration for further consideration |  |  |
| 20          |  |  |  |
| 21          | (Dkt. 21).   |  |  |
| 22          | After reviewing defendant's stipulated motion and the relevant record, the undersigned recommends that the Court grant defendant's motion, and reverse and remand this matter to the     |  |  |
| 23<br>24    | Acting Commissioner pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).   |  |  |

| 1  | On rea   | mand, based on the parties' stipulation, this Court recommends that the           |
|----|--|---|
| 2  | Administrativ  | e Law Judge ("the ALJ") hold a new hearing and issue a new decision. The ALJ      |
| 3  | should:  |   |
| 4  | (1)  | allow plaintiff to submit additional evidence and arguments;                      |
| 5  | (2)  | hold a de novo hearing and issue a new decision;                                  |
| 6  | (3)  | reevaluate the medical opinion evidence, particularly the opinions of Mark        |
| 7  |  | Heilbrunn, M.D., and Margaret Sandler, A.R.N.P., in accordance with 20 C.F.R      |
| 8  |  | §§ 404.1527, 416.927, explaining the weight given and providing legally           |
| 9  |  | sufficient reasons for any medical opinion that is rejected;                      |
| 10 | (4)  | reevaluate plaintiff's impairments at steps two and three of the sequential       |
| 11 |  | evaluation process;   |
| 12 | (5)  | reassess plaintiff's residual functional capacity;                                |
| 13 | (6)  | reevaluate plaintiff's ability to perform her past relevant work, making specific |
| 14 |  | findings, explaining and resolving any conflicts, and obtaining vocational expert |
| 15 |  | testimony as needed;  |
| 16 | (7)  | as necessary, reevaluate plaintiff's ability to perform other work at step five,  |
| 17 |  | obtaining vocational expert testimony; and  |
| 18 | (8)  | take any other actions necessary to develop the record.                           |
| 19 | This case should be reversed and remanded on the above grounds, and the parties have                 |   |
| 20 | agreed that plaintiff is entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and expenses pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § |   |
| 21 | 2412, and costs pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1920, following proper request to this Court.                |   |
| 22 |  |   |
| 23 |  |   |
| 24 |  |   |

| 1  | Given the facts and the parties' stipulation, the Court recommends that the District Judge   |
|----|--|
| 2  | immediately approve this Report and Recommendation and order the case be <b>REVERSED</b> and |
| 3  | <b>REMANDED</b> pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).                             |
| 4  | Dated this 1st day of May, 2015.   |
| 5  | T. March (waling)  |
| 6  | J. Richard Creatura  |
| 7  | United States Magistrate Judge   |
| 8  |  |
| 9  |  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |